

**Consultation comments on policy HE6 – Scheduled monuments and nationally important non-designated assets**

- Support - 13
- Neither support of object - 1
- Object - 2

The changes to the supporting text and the Local Plan policies have not only been informed by the responses to the Regulation 18 consultation but they have also taken on board any additional feedback that has come out of discussions/meetings with statutory consultees and members in order to improve the clarity and understanding of the contents of the Local Plan.

| <b>Comments that support policy HE6 – Scheduled monuments and nationally important non-designated assets</b> |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| <b>Respondent number</b>   | <b>Comment</b>   | <b>Officer comment</b>   |
| ANON-KSAR-N8YF-P   | When archaeological artifacts etc are removed for safekeeping, or investigated and then recovered to protect them, it would be good if some kind of educational information of the area were to be incorporated somewhere accessible in the development for the benefit of local residents and not just squirreled away in some kind of inaccessible vault | <p><b>Comments noted</b></p> <p>This is a matter that WCC actively pursues (via conditions or a S106 agreement) on appropriate developments (interpretation panels, street names etc.). Recent examples being the Croudace development at Wickham, the solar farm at Three Maids Hill and the forthcoming development at Station Mill, Bishops Waltham, as well as Kings Barton).</p> <p>In addition, reports on all archaeological work undertaken in connection with developments are passed to and are incorporated into the Winchester Historic Environment Record which is a publically</p> |

|                         |  |  |
|-------------------------|--|--|
|                         |  | <p>accessible record. Information from this is added to an online database periodically <a href="#">HeritageGateway - Home</a> * and reports are also available once released onto an online library <a href="#">Archaeology Data Service</a>.</p> <p><b>Recommended response: no change</b></p> |
| <p>ANON-KSAR-N8MP-M</p> | <p>[This response should be read in conjunction with the full copies of the 'North Whiteley Representations to the Winchester Local Plan Regulation 18 representations OBO Crest Nicholson' representations, which includes the relevant figures and appendices, with tables correctly formatted]</p> <p>Paragraph 189 of the Framework seeks to conserve and enhance the historic environment and sets out that heritage assets are an irreplaceable resource that should be conserved so they can be enjoyed for their contribution to the quality of life or existing and future generations. Winchester has a rich and diverse historic environment that provides a valuable contribution to its identity and culture. Strategic Policy HE1 confirms the Plan will protect the district's designated and non-designated heritage assets in accordance with the Framework and Policies HE2-HE14 set out the approach through which this will be achieved.</p> <p>Crest Nicholson is broadly supportive of the aims of these Policies and notes the development proposal for land in the North Whiteley MDA is not located in close proximity to any designated or non-designated heritage assets and the allocation of further growth in this location will therefore reduce development pressure on the district's historic environment.</p> | <p><b>Comments noted</b></p> <p><b>Response: no change</b></p>   |

| Comments that neither support or object to policy HE6 – scheduled monuments and nationally important non-designated assets |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| Respondent number  | Comment   | Officer comments  |
| BHLF-<br>KSAR-<br>N8BQ-A<br>Historic<br>England<br><a href="#">Link here</a>   | <p>Para 8.10 comment</p> <p>The Council may wish to add a reference in this supporting text to the importance of liaison with the Council to inform such investigation, in particular with the local archaeological adviser. Also, for complete clarity, the wording might be tweaked to refer to “submission of an application for planning permission</p> <p>Policy HE6 comment</p> <p>Given this policy refers also to SMC, we suggest further clarity is needed in the opening paragraph where this relates to applications for planning permission.</p> <p>The Council may wish to make explicit the focus of appropriate and proportionate evidence (wording suggested opposite) though this is not essential.</p> <p>We believe reference to SMC could be simplified as shown, noting that the process is set out in legislation and supported by relevant guidance. The policy approach on non-designated heritage assets that are potentially of national importance could be included in this policy or in policy HE7. We do not object to its inclusion here.</p> <p><b>Changes to policy text outlined below:</b></p> <p><del>Proposals</del> <b>Applications for planning permission</b> which affect, or may affect a scheduled monument, or its setting, should be supported by appropriate and proportionate evidence <b>on the significance of the asset (including the contribution to significance made by its setting) and the steps that would be taken to avoid and minimise harm.</b></p> <p><del>Scheduled monument consent (SMC) is also likely to be required, in</del></p> | <p><b>Comments noted and welcomed</b></p> <p>Agree to suggested changes and policy altered accordingly to reflect these comments to wording of policy which can be seen to the left.</p> <p>New text = <b>bold</b></p> <p>Removed text = <del>strikethrough</del></p> |

|  |  |  |
|--|--|--|
|  | <p><del>addition to any planning application. Historic England should be consulted for matters pertaining to SMC and the Winchester City Council Archaeological Advisor / Archaeologist for matters planning applications (although Historic England may also comment on planning applications).</del></p> <p><b>Historic England should be notified where a scheduled monument consent (SMC) is required in addition to planning permission.</b></p> <p>Applications which affect, or may affect, <b>a non-designated heritage assets that is potentially</b> of national importance will be required to provide <b>appropriate and proportionate evidence on the significance of the asset (including the contribution to significance made by its setting) and the steps that would be taken to avoid and minimise harm</b></p> <p><del>additional evidence in order to be able to understand the harm.</del></p> <p>The Winchester City Council Archaeological Advisor / Archaeologist should be consulted <b>on proposals that have the potential to affect either type of asset in</b> order to determine what evidence would be required. <del>This evidence should be proportionate and appropriate.</del></p> <p>Applications will be determined <b>also</b> in accordance with Policy HE3 on designated heritage assets. Additionally, proposals should take a positive approach to archaeology, by avoiding locating development on sensitive areas and designing development that responds positively to <b>the significance of</b> archaeological features, including their settings.</p> |  |
|--|--|--|

| <b>Comments that object to policy HE6 – scheduled monuments and nationally important non-designated assets</b> |                 |                        |
|--|-----------------|------------------------|
| <b>Respondent number</b>   | <b>Comments</b> | <b>Officer comment</b> |

|                         |  |   |
|-------------------------|--|---|
| <p>ANON-KSAR-NKJ1-5</p> | <p>Winchester College objects to policy HE6 which as worded applies equally to all heritage assets and does not distinguish between designated and non-designated heritage assets. The criteria for determining which non-designated heritage asset are considered to be of national importance are missing as are details of who will make this judgement.</p> <p>Furthermore, as the City Council is proposing a separate policy - Policy HE7 which covers non-designated archaeological assets, reference to these assets can be deleted from Policy HE6.</p> | <p><b>Comments noted and welcomed</b></p> <p>Policy HE6 reflects the Council's present and planned approach to manage the affects to scheduled monuments and nationally important non-designated assets. It sets out our aspiration to limit the effect on any scheduled monuments and nationally important non-designated assets. It does not preclude our obligations under the NPPF, but adds a specific local issue, to ensure that new development which may affect a scheduled monument and should be supported by appropriate and proportionate evidence. HE7 refers to specifically to non-designated archaeological assets and therefore non designated assets are included within policy HE6.</p> <p><b>Recommended response: no change</b></p> |
| <p>BHLF-KSAR-N8ZV-7</p> | <p>Policy HE6 Scheduled monuments and nationally important non-designated assets. OBJECT<br/> Winchester College objects to policy HE6 which as worded applies equally to all heritage assets and does not distinguish between designated and non-designated heritage assets. The criteria for determining which non-designated heritage asset are considered to be of national importance are missing as are details of who will make this judgement. Furthermore, as the City Council is proposing a separate</p>  | <p><b>Comments noted</b></p> <p>Policy HE6 addresses Archaeology. The NPPF makes clear that some archaeological remains which are not presently protected by Scheduling (and are therefore non-designated heritage assets) can be of equal or</p>   |

|  |   |  |
|--|---|--|
|  | <p>policy - Policy HE7 which covers non-designated archaeological assets, reference to these assets can be deleted from Policy HE6.</p> | <p>greater significance to some Scheduled Monuments. Policy HE6 accords with the NPPF in this respect; it recognises the value of hitherto unknown archaeological remains. Policy HE6 addresses the most significant archaeological remains (including Scheduled Monuments), whereas policy HE7 addresses other archaeological remains (all non-designated heritage assets).</p> <p><b>Recommended response: no change</b></p> |
|--|---|--|

|                      | <b>Recommendations</b>     | <b>Officer response</b> |
|----------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Comments from SA/HRA | No recommendation provided | N/A                     |

### Amendments to policy HE6

~~Proposals~~ **Applications for planning permission** which affect, or may affect a scheduled monument, or its setting, should be supported by appropriate and proportionate evidence **on the significance of the asset (including the contribution to significance made by its setting) and the steps that would be taken to avoid and minimise harm**. ~~Scheduled monument consent (SMC) is also likely to be required, in addition to any planning application. Historic England should be consulted for matters pertaining to SMC and the Winchester City Council Archaeological Advisor / Archaeologist for matters planning applications (although Historic England may also comment on planning applications).~~

**Historic England should be notified where a scheduled monument consent (SMC) is required in addition to planning permission.**

Applications which affect, or may affect, a non-designated heritage assets **that is potentially** of national importance will be required to provide **appropriate and proportionate evidence on the significance of the asset (including the contribution to significance made by its setting) and the steps that would be taken to avoid and minimise harm** ~~additional evidence in order to be able to understand the harm.~~

The Winchester City Council Archaeological Advisor / Archaeologist should be consulted **on proposals that have the potential to affect either type of asset** ~~order to determine what evidence would be required. This evidence should be proportionate and appropriate.~~

Applications will be determined **also** in accordance with Policy HE3 on designated heritage assets. Additionally, proposals should take a positive approach to archaeology, by avoiding locating development on sensitive areas and designing development that responds positively to **the significance of** archaeological features, including their settings.