

Local Plan Response Reference ID ANON-AQTS-3B8M-K Phil Gagg, WinACC Transport and Planning Group

Replies to Stage 1 Questions

Replies in purple

Inspector's Questions on SH2

5. Would the policy in its introductory paragraph 13.16 clearly set out the potential for additional capacity, particularly with regard to the provisions of an extra case scheme?

It is disappointing that no question explores the lack of adequate reference to active travel infrastructure, or the lack of public transport infrastructure (e.g. a railway station) to counter the isolation of the whole community.

Inspector's questions on BW1

2. Would it adequately address requirements for offsite infrastructure? Would the policy adequately address the need to promote infrastructure for active forms of transport?

In the light of the policy of encouraging active travel and active transport (Policy T3) there need to be specific infrastructure requirements to increase active travel within Bishops Waltham and public transport use to connect with elsewhere -e.g. funding of local cycling, wheeling and walking routes, and contributions to bus stop and travel hub infrastructure, and the cost of a transport interchange at Winchester railway station.

Inspector's questions on NA2

2. Would it appropriately address the requirements of offsite infrastructure, impacts on the Groundwater Protection Zone, promote active travel and enable its infrastructure?

In the light of the policy of encouraging active travel and active transport (Policy T3) and the policy of reducing greenhouse gas emissions there need to be specific infrastructure requirements to increase active travel within New Alresford and public transport use to connect with elsewhere -e.g. funding of local cycling, wheeling and walking routes, and contributions to bus stop and travel hub infrastructure, and the cost of a transport interchange at Winchester railway station.