

Consultation comments on policy CN6 – Micro Energy Generation Schemes

- Support - 21
- Neither support of object - 5
- Object - 6

The changes to the supporting text and the Local Plan policies have not only been informed by the responses to the Regulation 18 consultation but they have also taken on board any additional feedback that has come out of discussions/meetings with statutory consultees and members in order to improve the clarity and understanding of the contents of the Local Plan.

Comments in support of policy CN6 – Do you support the approach to policy CN6 micro energy generation schemes?		
Respondent number	Comment	Officer comment
ANON-KSAR-NKBD-G	Excellent idea.	Support welcomed and comments noted
ANON-KSAR-NKC8-5	<p>In principle terms, I agree. More encouragement to solar panel installations on new and existing housing stocks, both in public and private ownership. The other sources are more expensive, Biomass has only limited benefit as there is a conflict when you consider biomass delivery -transportation.</p> <p>Heat pump energy currently is too expensive is not carbon neutral in delivery and installation. However, this form of energy may be suitable for industrial, office or other mixed use...eg any proposal for an Olympic ice rink at Bar End.</p>	Support welcomed and comments noted
ANON-KSAR-NKDW-5	Littleton and Harestock Parish Council warmly welcomes the intentions to put climate change, adaptation and mitigation at the heart of the Plan's	Support welcomed and comments noted

Littleton and Harestock Parish Council	<p>strategy. Given the challenges we face, there is no other option than to address these head on. Of course future practice needs to match the good intentions, but whenever there is a perceived balance between climate considerations and other factors, the imperatives of addressing climate change should be uppermost. Littleton and Harestock Parish Council supports these policies.</p> <p>Support policies CN1-7 inclusive.</p>	
ANON-KSAR-NKDG-N	<p>Policy CN6</p> <p>ii The noise impacts should be more clearly defined with regard to noise levels, distances and recipients.</p>	<p>It is important that the Local Plan is read as whole. Policy D7 deals with the requirements that would need to be addressed in relation to noise. However, as the assessment and criteria for different types of micro generation schemes could be different – for completeness, Policy D7 could be crossed referred to in criterion ii. Recommended response: add the following at the end of criterion ii ‘in accordance with Policy D7’.</p>
ANON-KSAR-N8XY-8	<p>It would be helpful if the noise impacts were more clearly defined in the policy.</p>	<p>Please see response to ANON-KSAR-NKDG-N above.</p>
ANON-KSAR-N83B-C	<p>ii The noise impacts from the operational use of the proposal have been acceptably mitigated, TAKING ACCOUNT OF RECEPTORS AND THEIR LOCATION</p>	<p>Please see response to ANON-KSAR-NKDG-N above.</p>
ANON-KSAR-N8XG-P	<p>ii The noise impacts should be more clearly defined with regard to noise level, distances and recipients.</p>	<p>Please see response to ANON-KSAR-NKDG-N above.</p>
ANON-KSAR-NKYT-Q	<p>It would be helpful if the Council could provide useful information about the types of heating systems appropriate for different housing stock, which ranges</p>	<p>As each of these buildings styles are different, this is beyond the remit of the LP. Recommended response: No change.</p>

	<p>from medieval through to 19th to 21st century throughout the District.</p> <p>Also consider pooling or bulk buying solar panels to reduce costs and have a list of recommended installers. Please also note that objections to installation of solar panels on listed/old buildings is because they do not 'fit' with the roof tiling style. This can be overcome, as it has been, particularly on 'historic' buildings in Italy, by using solar panels that 'blend' with the particular tile shape and colour on the existing buildings.</p>	<p>Anyone that is interested in having solar panels installed can register their interest via HCC as they solar buying scheme. Installing solar tiles is one option that can be considered. Policy HE14 deals with improvements or alterations to improve the energy efficiency of designated and non designate historic assets. Recommended response: No change.</p>
ANON-KSAR-N85J-P	As per response to CN5, Bloor support this policy	Support welcomed and comments noted
BHLF-KSAR-N8TG-J	CPRE is supportive of micro energy schemes as long as landscape, heritage and tranquillity is protected (rather than simply mitigated).	Support welcomed and comments noted

Comments which neither support or object to policy CN6 – micro energy generation schemes?		
Respondent number	Comment	Officer comment
ANON-KSAR-NKS3-G Bishops Waltham Parish Council	CN6 Page 54 Micro Energy Comment: The fact of demonstrating no impact etc, should be removed and the PV etc should become a Policy.	Planning is always a balance. Policy CN6 sets out the criteria that would need to be satisfied. In some cases planning permission is required. Recommended response: No change.
BHLF-KSAR-N8BX-H	Strategic Policy CN6 Ground Source, Air Source and Solar are expected to play a vital part in the Trusts own plans to decarbonise	Planning is always a balance. Policy CN6 sets out the criteria that would need to be satisfied and it is

	<p>existing sites and contributing to creating health environments. The Trust views that appearance and impact on historic properties is of secondary importance given the stated climate emergency and potential sustainability benefits resulting. The Trust is concerned that the wording of this policy puts undue emphasis on aesthetics and on historic significance.</p> <p>However early investigation suggests that the DNO network is already under huge pressure and sufficient capacity to support such a shift will not materialise until post 2031. Are WCC aware of this and what steps are being taken to mitigate? In some instances, early investigation suggests that there may be practical and structural issues associated to roof mounted PV, thus other locations may need to be considered/supported.</p>	<p>important that the LP is read as whole. Recommended response: No change.</p> <p>As part of the development of the LP we are considering infrastructure issues and liaising with all of the infrastructure providers. It is accepted that in some cases with existing buildings there may be potentially issues relating to the structure of a building but a qualified solar panel fitter will be able to advise on this. This is another reason why it is important to plan the installation of solar panels as part of the development as it is much more expensive to retro-fit renewable energy technology. Recommended response: No change.</p>
<p>BHLF- KSAR- N86T-1 Hampshire County Council (Transport)</p>	<p>The County Council is pleased to see that the issue of climate change is being addressed via a range of policies to address strategic carbon neutrality and designing for low carbon infrastructure, alongside Policy T1 (Sustainable and Active Transport and Travel) and Policy T3 (Promoting sustainable travel modes of transport and the design and layout of parking for new developments) which consider transport issues. The County Council's Climate Change Framework for Strategic Programmes (2020 – 2025) sets out the mitigation and resilience programmes which the</p>	<p>Support welcomed and comments noted</p>

	<p>County Council will be pursuing. These strategic programmes have been designed to deliver outcomes to reach the County Council's targets in 2050 and are therefore very long term and extensive in nature. The County Council is therefore supportive of the Strategic Policy CN1 (Mitigating and adapting to climate change), Policy CN 2 (Energy Hierarchy), Policy CN 3 (Energy efficiency standards to reduce carbon emissions), Policy CN 4 (Water efficiency standards in new developments), Policy CN 5 (Renewable and low carbon energy schemes), Policy CN 6 (Micro energy generation schemes) and Policy CN 7 (Energy Storage) which are all designed to help mitigate and adapt to climate change and which the County Council considers are all aligned with the key milestones set out in the building and infrastructure theme of the County Council's Climate Change Framework for Strategic Programmes.</p>	
--	--	--

Comments which object to policy CN6 – micro energy generation schemes?		
Respondent number	Comment	Officer comment
ANON-KSAR-NKB4-Z	<p>Comment in brackets</p> <p>The council will support proposals for micro energy generation. This includes heat and power generated from solar, ground source/air source heat pumps, (wind), hydro-electric schemes, small scale biomass schemes and other low carbon heat or power sources providing that it can be demonstrated that:</p>	<p>Wind has specifically not included in Policy CN6 as this is not a micro energy generation scheme as proposals for wind tend to be a much larger scale and would be covered by the NPPF/PPG. Recommended change: No change.</p>

	<p>i. There is no unacceptable impact on the architectural, historic, archaeological significance or on the natural environment;</p> <p>ii The noise impacts from the operational use of the proposal has been acceptably mitigated; and</p> <p>iii. Proposals have been sensitively integrated with the whole building including where applicable its roof profile in order to avoid an unacceptable impact on the appearance of the building and the surrounding landscape.</p>	
ANON-KSAR-NK3N-B	<p>CN6 is worded for single property schemes. No policy is apparent for multiple property or area energy generation schemes, including those that offer improved fossil fuel usage but do not entirely eradicate its use. A policy is needed to encourage the use of area or multi-property energy generation schemes</p>	<p>There is nothing to stop an application coming forward for multi-property energy generation schemes but it is considered not necessary to include a specific policy in the LP for this. Recommended change: No change.</p>
ANON-KSAR-NKKV-B	<p>Responses to numbered paragraphs:</p> <p>i. In a climate emergency this clause should only apply to irreversible impact.</p> <p>ii. The noise impact should be assessed as for any other noise impact from an installation.</p> <p>iii. The impact on the appearance in the surrounding landscape is subjective and should be generally be presumed to be acceptable although reasonable accommodations should be accepted.</p>	<p>It would be difficult to quantify/assess what is meant be an irreversible impacts. Recommended response: No change</p> <p>Please see response to ANON-KSAR-NKDG-N above.</p> <p>It is important that the LP is read as whole and any development proposals meet all of the policy requirements in the LP/NPPF. Recommended response: No change</p>

BHLF- KSAR- N8BQ-A Historic Environment Link here	<p>The current wording excludes reference to artistic significance, without justification. It would be simpler to refer to heritage significance, which covers all four types of heritage interest (as listed in the NPPF)</p> <p>Full response in SP for mark ups i. There is no unacceptable impact on heritage the architectural, historic, archaeological significance or on the natural environment;</p>	<p>Points noted. Recommended response: Change the wording of criteria i to state: 'There is no unacceptable impact on heritage the architectural, historic, archaeological significance or on the natural environment';</p>
ANON- KSAR- NKBJ-P Soberton Parish Council	<p>There is no reference to the impact on landscape.</p>	<p>It is important to read the Local Plan as whole. Recommended response: No change.</p>

	Recommendations	Officer response
Comments from SA/HRA	No recommendations provided	N/A

Amendments to policy CN6

The council will support proposals for micro energy generation. This includes heat and power generated from solar, ground source/air source heat pumps, hydro-electric schemes, small scale biomass schemes and other low carbon heat or power sources providing that it can be demonstrated that:

- ~~There is no unacceptable impact on the architectural, historic, archaeological significance or on the natural environment;~~
- i. **There is not an unacceptable impact on heritage significance or on the natural environment;**
- ii. The noise impacts from the operational use of the proposal ~~hasve~~ been acceptably mitigated **in accordance with Policy D7**; and
- iii. Proposals have been sensitively integrated with the whole building including where applicable its roof profile in order to avoid an unacceptable impact on the appearance of the building and the surrounding landscape.